

Thomas Ryan <sylvanlawtr@gmail.com>

FW: Village of Clarkston-148 Main Street Plan Review

Cecil. John <icecil@hrc-engr.com>

Wed, Feb 4, 2015 at 3:33 PM

To: "sylvanlawtr@gmail.com" <sylvanlawtr@gmail.com>

Cc: Tressel Gary <GTressel@hrc-engr.com>, Biehl Thomas <tbiehl@hrc-engr.com>

Tom,

Sorry about not having the correct email address, please read below. This is the bulleted items and concerns we have for the 148 Main street address in the Village of Clarkston. Please respond upon receipt of this email.

Thanks,

John

From: Cecil, John

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 2:59 PM To: 'Tom Ryan (sylvanlaw@aol.com)'

Cc: Tressel Gary; Biehl Thomas

Subject: Village of Clarkston-148 Main Street Plan Review

Hi Tom,

In regards to the 148 Main St. plan review, we feel that the following items listed below be addressed and or provided to the Village prior to any approval.

- The developer, or the their agent, should provide a permit, acceptance letter, or correspondence from the MDEQ regarding any impacts to the existing contamination plume by adding additional water from the proposed open bottom detention system. This is an environmental issue, not a water resource or detention issue.
- An NPDES permit waiver is fine, this permit was something HRC has never pressed the developer to obtain. The requests that were made in our letter were based on meeting MDEQ and Oakland County requirements for both the environmental (contaminated plume) and detention volume calculations.

I have spoken to the design engineer regarding the environmental concerns and the plan resubmittal. He explained that there is an environmental report provided by an environmental consultant. I requested this be passed onto the MDEQ's environmental group for their review and acceptance and be part of the Village's review

ાટ્રેલ

package. I have also explained that revised plans can be submitted to the Village for a re-review of the revisions on the HRC letter dated December 18, 2014. However, any requirements from the MDEQ regarding this environmental issue may impact their design and further reviews may be required. We do not have an issue with a conceptual approval for the detention system design, however, a final approval for this project and site must include MDEQ's acceptance for the existing contamination plume or any other concerns they may have.

I hope this clears up the concerns with this project.

Regards,

John Cecil Senior Project Engineer Hubbell, Roth & Clark, Inc. 555 Hulet Drive P.O. Box 824 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303-0824 Phone: (248) 454-6300

Direct: (248) 454-6364 E-mail: jcecil@hrc-engr.com

www.hrc-engr.com



The sender intends that this e-mail is for the exclusive use of the person/company to whom it is addressed. This message may contain information that is confidential or privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication, or the use of its contents, is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender of your inadvertent receipt and mail the original copy to: Hubbell, Roth & Clark, Inc., P.O. Box 824, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303.