

## Richard Bisio

---

**From:** Sharron Catallo <sharroncat@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 18, 2015 9:30 PM  
**To:** jluginski@yahoo.com  
**Cc:** dmarsh17@gmail.com; Carol Eberhardt; Eric Haven; bisior@villageofclarkston.org; Michael Sabol; Thomas Hunter; sharroncat@comcast.net  
**Subject:** Letter on Facebook

I am disappointed that a letter sent this week to the Council for consideration was placed on a social media site by a member of the City Council, long before the members of Council had made a decision to even discuss the option. I think that this over-sharing was nothing more than old-fashioned grandstanding and could have waited until after a council discussion.

Maybe I'm wrong but I have always considered that the Council works as a unit, in voting the majority rules, we always stand behind those decisions no matter which side our individual vote was cast. Now all of a sudden, one of us, is prematurely releasing, what at this time is essentially private correspondence to a social media audience. I don't think that fosters trust, to write a letter to the City Council and take the chance of becoming Facebook fodder, is not what a resident of the Village should expect and it isn't what I expect of anyone serving on the City Council.

Please note: This is not for publication, Thank You

## Richard Bisio

---

**From:** Eric Haven <EricHaven@woodsidebible.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 18, 2015 10:46 PM  
**To:** Sharron Catallo  
**Cc:** jluginski@yahoo.com; dmarsh17@gmail.com; Carol Eberhardt, Eric Haven, bisior@villageofclarkston.org; Michael Sabol; Thomas Hunter  
**Subject:** Re: Letter on Facebook

I emphatically agree, Sharon!

Eric

Eric Haven  
Community Relations and Development Pastor  
[erichaven@woodsidebible.org](mailto:erichaven@woodsidebible.org)  
248-891-3688

On Apr 18, 2015, at 9:25 PM, Sharron Catallo <[sharroncat@comcast.net](mailto:sharroncat@comcast.net)> wrote:

I am disappointed that a letter sent this week to the Council for consideration was placed on a social media site by a member of the City Council, long before the members of Council had made a decision to even discuss the option. I think that this over-sharing was nothing more than old-fashioned grandstanding and could have waited until after a council discussion. Maybe I'm wrong but I have always considered that the Council works as a unit, in voting the majority rules, we always stand behind those decisions no matter which side our individual vote was cast. Now all of a sudden, one of us, is prematurely releasing, what at this time is essentially private correspondence to a social media audience. I don't think that fosters trust, to write a letter to the City Council and take the chance of becoming Facebook fodder, is not what a resident of the Village should expect and it isn't what I expect of anyone serving on the City Council. Please note: This is not for publication, Thank You

## Richard Bisio

---

**From:** Joe Luginski <jluginski@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 19, 2015 12:12 PM  
**To:** Eric Haven; Sharron Catalo  
**Cc:** dmarsh17@gmail.com; Carol Eberhardt; Eric Haven; bisior@villageofclarkston.org; Michael Sabol; Thomas Hunter  
**Subject:** Re: Letter on Facebook

I agree and have already talked to Mr. Bisio about this. I will not speak for Mr. Bisio as I do not want to misrepresent his reasons for posting the letter on Facebook. I would suggest that if any of you want an explanation to contact Mr. Bisio directly or ask Carol to add this topic to the agenda at the next council meeting for discussion.

Best Regards,

Joe Luginski

On Saturday, April 18, 2015 10:46 PM, Eric Haven <EricHaven@woodsidebible.org> wrote:

I emphatically agree, Sharon!

Eric

Eric Haven  
Community Relations and Development Pastor  
[erichaven@woodsidebible.org](mailto:erichaven@woodsidebible.org)  
248-891-3688

On Apr 18, 2015, at 9:25 PM, Sharron Catalo <[sharroncat@comcast.net](mailto:sharroncat@comcast.net)> wrote:

I am disappointed that a letter sent this week to the Council for consideration was placed on a social media site by a member of the City Council, long before the members of Council had made a decision to even discuss the option. I think that this over-sharing was nothing more than old-fashioned grandstanding and could have waited until after a council discussion.

Maybe I'm wrong but I have always considered that the Council works as a unit, in voting the majority rules, we always stand behind those decisions no matter which side our individual vote was cast. Now all of a sudden, one of us, is prematurely releasing, what at this time is essentially private correspondence to a social media audience. I don't think that fosters trust, to write a letter to the City Council and take the chance of becoming Facebook fodder, is not what a resident of the Village should expect and it isn't what I expect of anyone serving on the City Council.

Please note: This is not for publication, Thank You

## Richard Bisio

---

**From:** Eric Haven <EricHaven@woodsidebible.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 19, 2015 2:27 PM  
**To:** Joe Luginski  
**Cc:** Sharron Catalo; dmarsh17@gmail.com; Carol Eberhardt, Eric Haven; bisior@villageofclarkston.org; Michael Sabot; Thomas Hunter  
**Subject:** Letter on Facebook

Fellow council members,

I recommend putting the issue Joe is identifying below on the agenda for our next council meeting for discussion.

If Mr. Bisio would write his reasons for public postings of negotiations in progress, I would appreciate reading them.

I also recommend Carol provide all the council members with a copy of our ethics policy for review prior to the meeting.

Eric

Eric Haven  
Community Relations and Development Pastor  
[erichaven@woodsidebible.org](mailto:erichaven@woodsidebible.org)  
248-891-3688

On Apr 19, 2015, at 12:12 PM, Joe Luginski <[jluginski@yahoo.com](mailto:jluginski@yahoo.com)> wrote:

I agree and have already talked to Mr. Bisio about this. I will not speak for Mr. Bisio as I do not want to misrepresent his reasons for posting the letter on Facebook. I would suggest that if any of you want an explanation to contact Mr. Bisio directly or ask Carol to add this topic to the agenda at the next council meeting for discussion.

**Best Regards,**

**Joe Luginski**

On Saturday, April 18, 2015 10:46 PM, Eric Haven <[EricHaven@woodsidebible.org](mailto:EricHaven@woodsidebible.org)> wrote:

I emphatically agree, Sharon!

Eric

Eric Haven

Community Relations and Development Pastor

[erichaven@woodsidebible.org](mailto:erichaven@woodsidebible.org)

248-891-3688

On Apr 18, 2015, at 9:25 PM, Sharron Catallo <[sharroncat@comcast.net](mailto:sharroncat@comcast.net)> wrote:

I am disappointed that a letter sent this week to the Council for consideration was placed on a social media site by a member of the City Council, long before the members of Council had made a decision to even discuss the option. I think that this over-sharing was nothing more than old-fashioned grandstanding and could have waited until after a council discussion.

Maybe I'm wrong but I have always considered that the Council works as a unit, in voting the majority rules, we always stand behind those decisions no matter which side our individual vote was cast. Now all of a sudden, one of us, is prematurely releasing, what at this time is essentially private correspondence to a social media audience. I don't think that fosters trust, to write a letter to the City Council and take the chance of becoming Facebook fodder, is not what a resident of the Village should expect and it isn't what I expect of anyone serving on the City Council.

Please note: This is not for publication, Thank You

## Richard Bisio

---

**From:** sabolm@villageofclarkston.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 19, 2015 1:07 PM  
**To:** Thomashunter40@aol.com  
**Cc:** jluginski@yahoo.com; erichaven@woodsidebible.org; sharroncat@comcast.net; dmarsh17@gmail.com; eberhardtc@villageofclarkston.org; havene@villageofclarkston.org; bisior@villageofclarkston.org; sabolm@villageofclarkston.org  
**Subject:** Re: Letter on Facebook

I agree with the discussion string here and the comments made but since I am no longer engaged with the vampiristic Facebook or its minions, I am completely unaware of the specific subject matter and I have no plans on engaging it to find out. Is there a letter in my mailbox at City Hall?

Thanks,

Mike

On Sun, April 19, 2015 12:57 pm, Thomashunter40@aol.com wrote:

> I also agree. Tom Hunter

>

>

>

> In a message dated 4/19/2015 12:12:09 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,  
> jluginski@yahoo.com writes:

>

>

> I agree and have already talked to Mr. Bisio about this. I will not  
> speak for Mr. Bisio as I do not want to misrepresent his reasons for  
> posting the letter on Facebook. I would suggest that if any of you  
> want an explanation to contact Mr. Bisio directly or ask Carol to add  
> this topic to the agenda at the next council meeting for discussion.

>

>

>

>

>

> Best Regards,

>

>

> Joe Luginski

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> On Saturday, April 18, 2015 10:46 PM, Eric Haven

> <EricHaven@woodsidebible.org> wrote:

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> I emphatically agree, Sharon!  
>  
>  
> Eric  
>  
>  
>  
> Eric Haven  
> Community Relations and Development Pastor  
> \_erichaven@woodsidebible.org\_ (mailto:erichaven@woodsidebible.org)  
> 248-891-3688  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> On Apr 18, 2015, at 9:25 PM, Sharron Catallo <\_sharroncat@comcast.net\_  
> (mailto:sharroncat@comcast.net) > wrote:  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> I am disappointed that a letter sent this week to the Council for  
> consideration was placed on a social media site by a member of the  
> City Council, long before the members of Council had made a decision  
> to even discuss the option. I think that this over-sharing was  
> nothing more than old-fashioned grandstanding and could have waited  
> until after a council discussion. Maybe I'm wrong but I have always  
> considered that the Council works as a unit, in voting the majority  
> rules, we always stand behind those decisions no matter which side our  
> individual vote was cast. Now all of a sudden, one of us, is  
> prematurely releasing, what at this time is essentially private  
> correspondence to a social media audience. I don't think that fosters  
> trust, to write a letter to the City Council and take the chance of  
> becoming Facebook fodder, is not what a resident of the Village  
> should expect and it isn't what I expect of anyone serving on the  
> City Council. Please note:  
> This is not for publication, Thank You  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

## Richard Bisio

---

**From:** Thomashunter40@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 19, 2015 12:58 PM  
**To:** jluginski@yahoo.com; EricHaven@woodsidebible.org; sharroncat@comcast.net  
**Cc:** dmarsh17@gmail.com; eberhardtc@villageofclarkston.org; havene@villageofclarkston.org; bisior@villageofclarkston.org; sabolm@villageofclarkston.org  
**Subject:** Re: Letter on Facebook

I also agree. Tom Hunter

In a message dated 4/19/2015 12:12:09 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, jluginski@yahoo.com writes:

I agree and have already talked to Mr. Bisio about this. I will not speak for Mr. Bisio as I do not want to misrepresent his reasons for posting the letter on Facebook. I would suggest that if any of you want an explanation to contact Mr. Bisio directly or ask Carol to add this topic to the agenda at the next council meeting for discussion.

**Best Regards,**

**Joe Luginski**

On Saturday, April 18, 2015 10:46 PM, Eric Haven <EricHaven@woodsidebible.org> wrote:

I emphatically agree, Sharon!

Eric

Eric Haven  
Community Relations and Development Pastor  
[erichaven@woodsidebible.org](mailto:erichaven@woodsidebible.org)  
248-891-3688

On Apr 18, 2015, at 9:25 PM, Sharron Catallo <[sharroncat@comcast.net](mailto:sharroncat@comcast.net)> wrote:

I am disappointed that a letter sent this week to the Council for consideration was placed on a social media site by a member of the City Council, long before the members of Council had made a decision to even discuss the option. I think that this over-sharing was nothing more than old-fashioned grandstanding and could have waited until after a council discussion.

Maybe I'm wrong but I have always considered that the Council works as a unit, in voting the majority rules, we always stand behind those decisions no matter which side our individual vote was cast. Now all of a sudden, one of us, is prematurely releasing, what at this time is essentially private correspondence to a social media audience. I don't think that fosters trust, to write a letter to the City Council and take the chance of becoming Facebook fodder, is not what a resident of the Village should expect and it isn't what I expect of anyone serving



on the City Council.  
Please note: This is not for publication, Thank You